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Recognizing barriers to disability inclusion, a series of reports were developed with the aim of understanding employment and skills training trends for young people with disabilities in Rwanda, Kenya, Uganda, Ethiopia, Senegal, Ghana, and Nigeria. This brief presents a summary of the series' findings on supporting disability-inclusive employment.

**Key Findings**

1. Across the seven countries, there has been widespread adoption of progressive policy.

2. Barriers to employment remain widespread, and people with disabilities lag behind people without disabilities in key employment and livelihoods outcomes.

3. Inequalities in access to education and training cascade into inequalities in rates of employment.

4. Marginalizations intersect to disadvantage people with specific types of impairments, women, and people living in rural areas.

5. Available evidence suggests that people with disabilities are overrepresented in informal work.

6. Approaches to improving employment among people with disabilities include skills development, microfinance, internships, and disability sensitization.

7. Gaps in epidemiological and monitoring and evaluation data hamper efforts to translate policy into effective programming strategies.

## Key Finding One: Despite Progressive Policy, Marginalizations Endure

There has been progress in policy development, but disparities in training and employment between people with, and without, disabilities endure. All countries have ratified the Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities, but there is a lack of coordination and monitoring of policy implementation. Progressive policies create opportunities to test interventions to improve employment outcomes. All the countries of the study – Ethiopia, Ghana, Uganda, Rwanda, Nigeria, and Senegal – were mapped against the following criteria: 1. Is disability discussed in the constitution? 2. Is there a standalone policy on disability? 3. Is disability discussed in the National Development Plan? 4. Is there policy which enshrines the right of people with disabilities to discrimination-free employment? 5. Is there policy which provides for reasonable workplace accommodations for disability? and, finally, 6. Are there quotas in contracts of jobs or incentives for employing people with disabilities? All the countries met all these criteria, except in the case of Nigeria for criterion 1. Is disability discussed in the constitution? and 3. Is disability discussed in the National Development Plan? because data were missing. Uganda and Senegal were also missing data for criterion 3. Is disability discussed in the National Development Plan? The research also plotted the disparities between people with and without disabilities in the proportion of youth who are not in education, employment, or training (NEET). In all countries, the percentage of people with disabilities who were NEET was higher than the percentage of people without disabilities who were NEET. This gap was largest in Nigeria, Rwanda, and Senegal. For instance, in Senegal, 78% of youth with disabilities are NEET, while only 33% of youth without disabilities are NEET.

## Key Finding Two: Misconceptions about People with Disabilities and Work Persist

Poor attitudes among employers, who worry about the ability of people with disabilities and the extra costs of hiring them, affect private sector participation. Negative perceptions affect participation in the informal economy too, as people with disabilities do not have equal access to land as a result of negative attitudes. As one key informant from Ethiopia noted, "It is easier to pay [the fine]

rather than hiring two disabled people". While disheartening, these findings provide clear entry points for intervention, including in respect of the provision of assistive devices; attitude change among employers; and the role of incentives for the employment of people with disabilities in the private sector. As another key informant, this time from Senegal remarked, the role of advocacy is clear: "The challenge is to continue to advocate...so that they too change their perception".

## Key Finding Three: The Roots of Employment Disparities are Deep, and Marginalizations Intersect

Despite policies and programs committing to disability inclusive education, across countries inequalities in educational access and achievement are marked. Across countries, between 11 and 61% of children with disabilities are out of school, a nonattendance rate which is up to 23% higher than among children without disabilities. Up to 25% fewer people with disabilities have completed primary school compared to their peers without disabilities. Among young people with disabilities, girls and young women experience the lowest rates of educational participation and attainment.

## Key Finding Four: Diverse Strategies are Needed to Meet Needs

Priorities include that:

* Barriers to education need to be addressed to improve employment outcomes.
* Programs that promote digital skills in youth with disabilities are needed.
* Awareness on disability-inclusive education and employment practices needs to be improved.
* Organizations of persons with disabilities must be supported to continue to promote disability-inclusive employment.
* There is a need for quality evidence on ‘what works’ for strengthening livelihoods and educational inclusion.
* Policies need to specify responsible parties and metrics of success.

## Key Finding Five: Data Gaps Need to be Bridged

* While some progress has been made towards disaggregation of education and employment data by disability status, this is not uniform across countries.
* Labour force surveys are inconsistently implemented across settings, and where they are implemented, data collection could be optimized to provide better insights regarding people with disabilities.
* There is a need for the perspective of people with disabilities to be foregrounded through qualitative research.
* Lack of intentional sampling of people with disabilities in national surveys means that small sample sizes often make data desegregation of data from people with disabilities gender, location, or other demographic characteristics, impossible, limiting ability to understand intersecting vulnerabilities.
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